**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC**

**THURSDAY, THE 22ND NOVEMBER 2007 / 1ST AGRAHAYANA 1929**

**RP.No. 1115 of 2007()**
**----------**
**(AGAINST THE ORDER IN WPC NO.28942/07)**

**REVIEW PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS:**
**----------**

**1. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER (ASSESSMENT),**
**PALAKKAD CIRCLE, COMMERCIAL TAXES, PALAKKAD.**

**2. THE OFFICER IN-CHARGE OF THE**
**CHECK POST, COMMERCIAL TAXES CHECK POST,NADUPUNNY.**

**3. THE COMMISSIONER OF COMMERCIAL TAXES,**
**THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

**4. THE STATE OF KERALA,**
**REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,**
**TAXES DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

**5. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER COMMERCIAL TAXES,**
**PALAKKAD.**

**6. THE OFFICER IN-CHARGE OF THE**
**CHECK POST, COMMERCIAL TAXES, CHECK POST,WALAYAR.**

**BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.GOVINDAN**

**RESPONDENT: PETITIONER:**
**----------**

**C. UNNIKRISHNA,**
**VINAYAKA COMPLEX, BYE PASS ROAD, KODUAYOOR,**
**PALAKKAD DISTRICT.**

**BY ADV. SRI.K.M.FIROZ**

**THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

**ANTONY DOMINIC, J.**
**==========**
**R.P.No.1115 OF 2007**
**IN**
**W.P.(C) NO. 28942 OF 2007 U**
**==========**

**Dated this the 22nd day of November, 2007**

**O R D E R**

I heard the learned Government pleader and the counsel for the writ petitioner. In view of the fact that there is every possibility of misuse of chelan as is now stated by the learned Government Pleader, I think they are justified in asking for the payment through Demand Draft. This aspect was not highlighted at the time when the writ petition was heard. Review will stand allowed and the judgment is recalled.

**ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.**

Rp